#### **AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO - JANUARY, 2019**

Prior to the required 5-year update cycle, there are times when the TPO may find it necessary to amend the LRTP. An amendment is a major revision that may include adding or deleting a project from the plan. It includes also major changes to project costs, initiation dates, or design concepts and scopes for existing projects. A major amendment resulting in a change in the cost feasibility of the adopted LRTP cost feasible plan requires financial analysis reaffirming the cost feasibility of the amended plan. An amendment requires public review and comment in accordance with the Public Involvement Process for LRTP Amendments, and re-demonstrating fiscal constraint. Florida Statute requires that the TPO Board adopt any amendments to the LRTP by a recorded roll call vote of the majority of the membership present.

This amendment addresses 2 areas; Addressing a Federal Highway Administration corrective action and Federal Planning Rules.

## FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (FHWA) CORRECTIVE ACTION

Every four years all TPO/MPOs go through a certification process by FHWA to ensure that they are operating in compliance with standards, policies and procedures. In the certification review conducted on the Space Coast TPO in June 2018 the following LRTP corrective action was issued.

"Long Range Transportation Plan - Fiscal Constraint - While a comparison of the plan revenue sources and Cost Feasible Plan was included to demonstrate the fiscal constraint of the Plan (Table 7.12), the LRTP did not include the first five years of the Plan and therefore, does not demonstrate full fiscal constraint of the Plan. In accordance with 23 CFR 450.322(a), and discussed in the November 2012 FHWA/FTA LRTP Expectations Letter, the LRTP must show projects and funding for the entire time period covered by the LRTP, from the base year to the horizon year. Because this information is missing from the financial infographics and tables, fiscal constraint of the full plan could not be determined. Revisions to the 2040 LRTP must be made to clearly demonstrate fiscal constraint for the entire Plan by February 28, 2019."

## RESOLUTION TO FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (FHWA) CORRECTIVE ACTION

Long Range Transportation Plans become active on their adoption date. This plan was originally adopted on October 8, 2015. In order to demonstrate fiscal constraint, the following pages 338 - 377 include the projects, their costs, and funded phases for fiscal year 2015/2016 through fiscal year 2019/2020 that cover the first five years of this adopted LRTP. By inclusion of these projects fiscal constraint can clearly be demonstrated for the entire period covered by the LRTP.

On the following pages, text in the body of this document has been updated reflect the inclusion of the first 5 years of projects:

On page 141 the text in bold in the second paragraph was updated to reference this appendix. It reads: "The prioritization of long term improvements is complemented in the cost feasible plan by parallel efforts to prioritize and implement specific types of improvements, including capacity, safety and congestion management projects, some of which are affordable in the short term, between 2016 and 2020. These funded projects are listed in the Transportation Improvement Program Table beginning on Page 338."

On page 155 halfway through the first paragraph the following text in bold was added: "The first five years of the plan period **are already funded** and are not included in the projections since the revenue for that period is encumbered by projects included in the TPO's Transportation Improvement Program (**Page 338**).

Text was also added to the Cost Feasible Table(s) (7.13) beginning on page 171, to refer to this appendix for the first five years of projects in the LRTP.

#### FEDERAL PLANNING RULES

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) Act enacted in 2012 and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) enacted in 2015 require that the Florida Department of Transportation and metropolitan planning organizations (MPO/TPOs) must apply a transportation performance-based planning approach to transportation decision-making.

Transportation Performance-based planning is a strategic approach to connect investment and policy decisions to help achieve performance goals. Performance measures are quantitative criteria used to evaluate progress. Performance measure targets are the benchmarks against which collected data is gauged. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) requires State DOTs and TPOs to conduct performance-based planning by tracking performance measures and setting data-driven targets to improve those measures. Performance-based planning ensures the most efficient investment of federal transportation funds by increasing accountability, transparency, and providing for better investment decisions that focus on key outcomes related to seven national goals:

- Improving Safety;
- Maintaining Infrastructure Condition;
- Reducing Traffic Congestion;
- Improving the Efficiency of the System and Freight Movement;
- Protecting the Environment; and,
- Reducing Delays in Project Delivery

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act supplements the MAP 21 legislation by establishing timelines for State DOTs and TPOs to comply with the requirements of MAP-21. State DOTs are required to establish statewide targets and TPOs have the option to support the statewide targets or adopt their own. The following is being included in this LRTP amendment to meet the performance measurements required in Long Range Transportation Plans.

## PERFORMANCE MEASURE (PM1) - SAFETY

Safety is the first national goal identified in the FAST Act. In March of 2016, the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and Safety Performance Management Measures Rule (Safety PM Rule) was finalized and published in the *Federal Register*. The rule requires TPOs to set targets for the following safety-related performance measures and report progress to the State DOT:

Number and Rate of Fatalities per 100M Vehicle Miles Traveled

Number and Rate of Serious Injuries per 100M Vehicle Miles Traveled

Rate of Fatalities per 100M Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT); and

Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Non-Motorized Serious Injuries.

The 2016 Florida Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) is the statewide plan focusing on how to accomplish the vision of eliminating fatalities and reducing serious injuries on all public roads. The SHSP was developed in coordination with Florida's 27 metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) through Florida's Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council (MPOAC). The SHSP development process included review of safety-related goals, objectives, and strategies in MPO/TPO Plans. The SHSP guides FDOT, TPOs, and other safety partners in addressing safety and defines a framework for implementation activities to be carried out throughout the State.

The Florida SHSP and the Florida Transportation Plan (FTP) both highlight the commitment to a **vision of zero deaths**. The FDOT Florida Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) annual report documents the statewide performance measures toward that zero deaths vision.

In February 2018, the Space Coast TPO approved Resolution 18-13 to adopt the FDOT statewide HSIP safety performance measures and FDOT's 2018 safety targets, which set the target at "0" for each performance measure to reflect the Department's goal of zero deaths. For calendar year 2019, FDOT continued its Vision Zero targets for all five safety performance measures. On October 11, 2018, the Space Coast TPO approved Resolution 19-07 to adopt the FDOT Vision Zero targets and will continue to work with the State and safety stakeholders to address areas of concern for fatalities or serious injuries within the planning area.

#### LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN PRIORITIES - SAFETY

The Space Coast TPO 2040 LRTP emphasizes the commitment to safety through the goals identified in the plan. One of the LRTP's objectives is to improve the safety of county and state infrastructure for motorized and non-motorized users. Improving the safety of the transportation system in Brevard County is an integral piece of the transportation planning puzzle, one that plays a major role in any and all infrastructure improvements, across modes and across improvement types. The TIP reflects these investment priorities established by the Space Coast TPO in the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).

For the Space Coast TPO this includes safety programs such as:

Annual Countywide Safety Report (consistent with the Florida SHSP) – The analysis of prioritized intersections and segments and the safety data utilized in it was instrumental in the prioritization process of needs projects for inclusion in the cost feasible plan of the LRTP. A combination of crash severity and frequency data were analyzed to identify those facilities with the greatest need for safety improvements. In addition, facilities in need of multimodal infrastructure improvements were recognized in this analysis as crucial to the safety and vulnerable road users.

**Road Safety Audit Program** – a look at high crash sections as well as pedestrian and bicycle safety reviews with a focus on crash frequency and severity reduction and both short-and long-term solutions to identified safety issues on roadways. TPO Staff is working with the District Five Safety Office and local municipalities to determine specific safety funding for each section identified.

**State of the System Report –** Annually evaluate the state of the transportation system in Brevard to look at current conditions and trends to determine if the programs and priorities are effective at targeting facilities needing attention and if these programs are aligned with the goals and objectives of the Space Coast TPO. This is an integral part of the TPO's project priority and congestion management process.

These programs at times include input from the Community Traffic Safety Team (CTST) and the Transportation Subcommittee to address infrastructure or behavior safety.

The TIP includes various safety infrastructure improvements such as: pedestrian lighting, intersection improvements, pedestrian refuges / traffic calming, sidewalk projects, traffic signals, ITS communications system, mid-block crossings with rectangular rapid flashing beacons, complete street projects and design of a Brevard County Traffic Management Center.

The Space Coast TPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Mobility Plan also serve as the non-motorized transportation element of the 2040 LRTP. The bicycle/pedestrian network is a component of the overall transportation system. FDOT evaluates bicycle and pedestrian improvements in conjunction with capacity and resurfacing projects. Bicycle and pedestrian projects are also implemented by local agencies, who oversee construction and management. In addition, some projects are federally funded Transportation

Alternatives Program (TAP) or the state funded Shared Use Non-motorized (SUN) Trail Program.

The TPO also conducts a Bicycle/Pedestrian Education program focused on educating both non-motorized and motorized users on traffic laws and safe transportation practices. Through partnership with Brevard County Schools, TPO staff has worked on many programs, including the Walking School Bus Program, and Walk to School and Bike to School Day Events. Other safety education include: bicycle rodeos, safety fairs, and bicycle helmet program. The education element of the TPO's safety activities are a crucial part of advancing safety for users of multimodal and roadway infrastructure. Effectiveness of these programs is maximized by the breadth of participants involved.

The TIP includes specific investment priorities that support all of the Space Coast TPO's goals including safety, using a prioritization and project selection process established in the LRTP. This process evaluates projects that have an anticipated effect of reducing both fatal and injury crashes. The TPO's goal of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes is linked to this investment plan and the process used in prioritizing the projects is consistent with federal requirements. The Space Coast TPO will continue to coordinate with FDOT and transit providers to take action on the additional targets and other requirements of the federal performance management process.

#### TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

MAP-21 and the FAST Act require FDOT develop a risk-based Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP) for all pavement and bridges on the National Highway System. The TAMP must include investment strategies leading to a program of projects that would make progress toward achievement of the State DOT targets for asset condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS). It focuses on business, economics, and engineering practices for resource allocation, project selection and utilization, with the objective of informing decision making based upon quality information and well-defined objectives. The TAMP will serve as the basis for establishing in future TIPs the targets for the pavement and bridge condition performance measures identified in the TIP/LRTP System Performance Report.

In January 2017, USDOT published the Pavement and Bridge Condition Performance Measures Final Rule, which is also referred to as the PM2 rule. This rule establishes the following six performance measures:

- Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition;
- Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition;
- Percent of non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) pavements in good condition;
- Percent of NHS bridges by deck area classified as in good condition; and
- Percent of NHS bridges by deck area classified as in poor condition.

For the pavement measures, five pavement metrics are used to assess condition: International Roughness Index (IRI); Cracking Percent; Rutting; Faulting; and a Present Serviceability Rating (PSR) for lower speed roads. The bridge measure assesses the condition of a bridge's deck, superstructure, substructure, and culverts. Good condition suggests that no major investment is needed, and poor condition suggest major reconstruction investment is needed.

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE (PM2) - BRIDGE AND PAVEMENT

Federal rules require state DOTs and TPOs to set bridge and pavement performance targets and monitor progress towards achieving the targets. States must set four-year statewide targets for the percent of interstate pavements in good and poor condition; two-year and four-year targets for the percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in good and poor condition; and two-year and four-year targets for the percent of NHS bridges by deck area in good and poor condition.

TPOs must set four-year targets for all six measures. TPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets, or set their own quantifiable targets for the TPO's planning area. FDOT set the following statewide targets on May 18, 2018:

Performance Measure	2-year State- wide Target	4-year Statewide Target
Performance ivieasure	(Jan. 1, 2018 to Dec. 31, 2019)	(Jan. 1, 2018 to Dec. 31, 2021)
Percent of Interstate pavements in good condition	Not required	60%
Percent of Interstate pavements in poor condition	Not required	5%
Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in good condition	40%	40%
Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in poor condition	5%	5%
Percent of NHS bridges by deck area in good condition	50%	50%
Percent of NHS bridges by deck area in poor condition	10%	10%

In setting the statewide targets, FDOT considered several factors. The key considerations included:

FDOT currently has the following conditions:

- 66% of the Interstate pavements in good condition and 0.1% in poor condition;
- 45% of the non-Interstate NHS pavements in good condition and 0.4% in poor condition;
- 72% of NHS bridges by deck area in good condition and 1% in poor condition

FDOT seeks to be conservative in its targets, while at the same time meeting the minimum condition requirements (no more than 5% of the Interstate System in poor condition and no more than 10% of NHS bridges by deck area in poor condition).

On October 11, 2018, the Space Coast TPO approved Resolution 19-07 and agreed to support FDOT's statewide pavement and bridge performance targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that will, once implemented, make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

The Space Coast TPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with FDOT and Space Coast Area Transit. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2040 LRTP. The focus of the Space Coast TPO's investments that bridge and pavement condition include the following:

- Resurfacing / Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation
- Construction for three new Interchanges at I-95 / St. Johns Heritage Parkway (Palm Bay), I-95 / Viera Blvd and I-95 / Ellis Road
- I-95 Ramp improvements at I-95 and Wickham, Eau Gallie and Fiske
- I-95 / Grant Road Bridge Rehabilitation
- Resurfacing and Design of SR 528 (Beachline) Widening from SR 520 to SR 401 Interchange (Port)
- SR 405 Spaceport Connectors Resurfacing (Titusville)

The Space Coast TPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the statewide pavement and bridge performance targets.

# PERFORMANCE MEASURE (PM3) - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE, FREIGHT, CONGESTION MITIGATION & AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT (CMAQ) PROGRAM

In January 2017, USDOT published the System Performance/Freight/CMAQ Performance Measures Final Rule to establish measures to assess passenger and freight performance on the Interstate and non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS), and traffic congestion and on-road mobile source emissions in areas that do not meet federal National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The rule, which is referred to as the PM3 rule, requires MPOs to set targets for the following six performance measures:

- Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable, also referred to as Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR);
- Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable (LOTTR);
- Truck Travel Time Reliability index (TTTR);
- Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita;
- Percent of non-single occupant vehicle travel (Non-SOV); and
- Total emissions reduction of on-road mobile source emissions.

In Florida, only the two LOTTR performance measures and the TTTR performance measure apply. Because all areas in Florida meet current NAAQS, the remaining three measures do not currently apply in Florida. A description of the applicable measures follows.

**LOTTR Measures -** The LOTTR performance measures assesses the percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate or the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable. LOTTR is defined as the ratio of longer travel times (80<sup>th</sup> percentile) to a normal travel time (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) over of all applicable roads, between the hours of 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. each day. The measures are expressed as the percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate or Non-Interstate NHS system that are reliable. Person-miles take into account the number of people traveling in buses, cars, and trucks over these roadway segments.

**TTTR Measure -** The TTTR performance measure assesses the reliability index for trucks traveling on the interstate. A TTTR ratio is generated by dividing the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile truck travel time by a normal travel time (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) for each segment of the Interstate system over specific time periods throughout weekdays and weekends. This is averaged across the length of all Interstate segments in the state or MPO planning area to determine the TTTR index.

**System Performance and Freight Targets -** Federal rules require TPOs to establish four-year performance targets for the LOTTR and TTTR performance measures, within 180 days of FDOT setting statewide targets. MPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the statewide targets, or set their own quantifiable targets for the MPO's planning area.

FDOT set the following statewide targets on May 18, 2018:

Performance Measure	2-year State- wide Target (Jan. 1, 2018 to Dec. 31, 2019)	4-year Statewide Target (Jan. 1, 2018 to Dec. 31, 2021)
Percent of person-miles on the Interstate system that are reliable (Interstate LOTTR)	75%	70%
Percent of person-miles on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable (Non-Interstate NHS LOTTR	Not Required	50%
Truck travel time reliability (TTTR)	1.75	2.00

In setting the statewide targets, FDOT considered several factors. The key considerations included:

FDOT currently has the following conditions:

- 82% of person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable;
- 84% of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate that are reliable;
- 1.43 truck travel time reliability index

FDOT reviewed external and internal factors that may affect reliability, conducted a trend analysis for the performance measures, and developed a sensitivity analysis indicating the level of risk for road segments to become unreliable. One key conclusion from this effort is that there is a degree of uncertainty with the future performance of reliability.

FDOT sought to be conservative in its targets and closely monitor its PM3 performance in the coming years.

On October 11, 2018, the Space Coast TPO approved Resolution 19-07 and agreed to support FDOT's statewide system performance targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that will, once implemented, make progress toward achieving the statewide targets.

### LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN PRIORITIES - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND FREIGHT

The Space Coast TPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with FDOT and Space Coast Area Transit. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2040 LRTP. Improving mobility for people and freight on the regional transportation system and employing operational strategies to

optimize the performance of the County's transportation infrastructure are objectives of the LRTP.

The strategies to address these objectives include capital investments in the county's arterial and limited access roadways providing access to major economic generators like ports, downtown areas, and other emerging employment centers as well as incremental improvements in the county's Intelligent Transportation System through the TPO's ITS Master Plan.

Our State of the System report includes an evaluation of freight traffic reported in terms of total truck average annual daily traffic. There are several important projects included in the Long Range Transportation Plan that link investment priorities to those performance targets that improve major freight corridors and improve access to the airports and seaport in Brevard County. These improvements represent a central LRTP goal to focus on economic development and improved access to intermodal centers, as well as employment centers.

The focus of Space Coast TPO's investments that address system performance and reliability include the following:

The amount of truck traffic on I-95 and SR 528 and the surrounding roadway network serves as one indicator of freight and goods movement through the County, as those roads carry large volumes of trucks due to the activity at Port Canaveral and Orlando-Melbourne International Airport. Those facilities that form the backbone of the County's economy with primary emphasis on the FDOT Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), which includes the widening of SR 528 (Beachline), I-95 / St. Johns Heritage Parkway North Interchange at Ellis Road, Ellis Road widening, and the intermodal port/airport hubs and connecting facilities. Other improvements include the intersection improvements on US 192 and Hollywood Boulevard and US 192 and Wickham Road.

The NASA Causeway movable bridge over the Indian River is a critical connector serving the Cape Canaveral Spaceport (CCS). The route serves as a critical access point to the CCS for the billions of dollars in military and commercial space freight, as well as the thousands of military personnel and workforce at the CCS, as well as the millions of visitors annually to the Kennedy Space Center and surrounding region. Due to the age and de-rating of the structure, it requires replacement by FY 2021. The potential loss of the use of this structure for the transport of the billions of dollars of space freight will put an excessive burden on the federal and commercial sector as well as potentially reroute hazardous materials to alternate, less secure routes within the surrounding community.

The SR 401 corridor and the bascule bridge over the Canaveral Barge Canaveral are critical to the economic prosperity of the Central Florida Region. Multiple facilities, military and civilian, depend on the reliability of this corridor including, Port Canaveral, Space Florida, Kennedy Space Center, Seaport Canaveral, port assets of the US Navy and US Army and the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station. The volume of freight and cargo movement is drivers that impact the performance and reliability of the bascule bridges. In order to meet the future demand of the freight and passenger vehicle traffic, a widening of SR 401 and bridge replacement is needed.

The Space Coast TPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the statewide LOTTR and TTTR performance targets.

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

#### TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT MEASURES

#### **Transit Asset Performance**

MAP-21 and the FAST Act require transit providers to adopt performance targets for transit asset management, also known as "State of Good Repair" targets, in cooperation with the TPOs. The purpose of Transit Asset Management (TAM) is to help achieve and maintain a state of good repair for the nation's public transportation assets. The TAM rule develops a framework for transit agencies to monitor and manage public transportation assets, improve safety, increase reliability and performance and establish performance measures.

On July 26, 2016, FTA published the final Transit Asset Management rule. This rule applies to all recipients and subrecipients of Federal transit funding that own, operate, or manage public transportation capital assets. The rule defines the term "state of good repair," requires that public transportation providers develop and implement transit asset management (TAM) plans, and establishes state of good repair standards and performance measures for four asset categories: rolling stock, equipment, transit infrastructure, and facilities. The rule became effective on October 1, 2018.

The table below identifies performance measures outlined in the final rule for transit asset management.

Asset Category	Performance Measure and Asset Class
Equipment	Percentage of non-revenue, support-service and maintenance vehicles that have met or exceeded their useful life benchmark
Rolling Stock	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have either met or exceeded their useful life benchmark
Infrastructure	Percentage of track segments with performance restrictions
Facilities	Percentage of facilities within an asset class rated below condition 3 on the TERM scale

# **Transit Asset Management Performance Targets**

Public transportation agencies are required to set and report transit targets annually. Public transit providers or their sponsors must share their targets with each TPO in which the transit provider's projects and services are programmed in the TPO's TIP. Subsequent TPO TAM targets must be set when updating the LRTP. TPOs can either agree to program projects that will support the TAM targets, or set their own separate regional TAM targets for the TPO's planning area. Regional TAM targets may differ from agency TAM targets, especially if there are multiple transit agencies in the TPO's planning area, or in the event that one or more transit agencies have e not provided TAM targets to the TPO.

# Space Coast Area Transit set the following transit asset targets on October 1, 2018:

	Asset Class	SCAT	
Asset Category - Performance Measure		Useful Life Benchmark	2022 Target
Rolling Stock	·		
	Bus (BU) Fixed Route	9-12 Years	
		350,000 – 650,000 Miles	0%
	Cutaway Bus (CU) Para-	6-7 Years	
Age - % of revenue vehicles within a particular	transit	160,000 – 175,000 Miles	0%
asset class that have met or exceeded their Use-	Van (VN) Paratransit	4-5 Years	007
ful Life Benchmark (ULB)		100,000 Miles	0%
	Agency Paratransit Van-	4-7 Years	
	pool Vans	100,000 Miles	0%
	Commuter Vanpool Vans	4-6 Years	
		100,000 Miles	0%
Equipment		·	
Age - % of non-revenue vehicles within a par-	Non-Revenue/Service	9 Years	00/
ticular asset class that have met or exceeded their	Automobile	125,000 Miles	0%
Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	Misc. Equipment	Under TERM 3.0	0%
Infrastructure			
% of track segments with performance restrictions	Rail fixed guideway track	N/A	N/A
Facilities			
	Facilities	Under TERM 3.0	0%
	Maintenance	Under TERM 3.0	0%
Condition - % of facilities with a condition	Parking Structures	Under TERM 3.0	0%
rating below 3.0 on the FTA Transit Economic	Passenger Facilities	Under TERM 3.0	0%
Requirements Model (TERM) Scale	Shelter	Under TERM 3.0	0%
	Storage	Under TERM 3.0	0%
	Etc.	Under TERM 3.0	0%

On December 13, 2018, the Space Coast TPO agreed to support Space Coast Area Transit's asset targets, thus agreeing to plan and program projects in the TIP that will, once implemented, make progress toward achieving the transit provider targets.

#### **APPENDIX C: AMENDMENTS**

The Space Coast TPO TIP was developed and is managed in cooperation with Space Coast Area Transit. It reflects the investment priorities established in the 2040 LRTP. Key components of the plan development process included identifying anticipated Year 2040 system capacity, system needs, cost estimates for the identified needs, and the projection of financial resources and revenues anticipated to be available by the Year of Expenditure (YOE). The resulting 2040 Cost Feasible Plan reflects an array of projects and goods in a cost-efficient manner. Key projects within the Cost Feasible Plan (CFP) include a select number of critical highway expansion projects, such as additional lanes along major corridors, supported by an array of multimodal strategies to improve traffic and transit operations, including roadway connectivity, and pedestrian/bicycle route development. However, the CFP does not address any transit needs.

Funding restraints do not allow for the expansion of transit in the near future. The portion of state Transportation funding is supplemented with other State/Federal/Local funding reflected in SCAT Transit Development Plan to sustain current transit services. The plan development process afforded an opportunity for the TPO, SCAT, and local governments to work together to identify needs and desired outcomes and establish policy and planning directives that many of the local governments have adopted into their comprehensive plans. The Local Government Comprehensive Plans support and, in some instances, reiterate the value and benefit in coordinating land use development activities with transportation planning efforts in order to optimize existing public transportation infrastructure and promote and encourage developments.

The focus of Space Coast TPO's investments that address transit state of good repair include the following:

- ADA Bus Stop Assessment improvement to amenities at bus stop, benches, and shelters
- Bus Replacements, Capital and Operating for fixed route, transit service demonstration

The Space Coast TPO TIP has been evaluated and the anticipated effect of the overall program is that, once implemented, progress will be made towards achieving the Space Coast Area Transit asset performance targets.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **SPACE COAST TPO**

**Transportation Improvement Program FY 2016 - 2020** 

**ADOPTED JULY 9, 2015 FY 16 - FY 2020, AMENDED 10/8/2015** 

# **5-Year Summary of Funding Source**

Total	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	Funding Source
172,198,262	17,060,347	7,175,595	19,504,626	68,476,056	59,981,638	Federal
50,821,193	8,646,336	1,695,934	1,642,302	7,528,580	31,308,041	Local
189,760,522	22,040,755	28,776,413	18,228,209	39,495,343	81,219,802	State
412,779,977	47,747,438	37,647,942	39,375,137	115,499,979	172,509,481	Total

# Section A - Highway Capacity and Bridge Replacement

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 5 (US 1) FROM N OF PIN		DCO ROAD		Length: 3.842 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: A	ADD LANES & RECONSTRU	C I			LRTP#: Page 33, M	ар 7	
CST	DS	0	150,000	0	0	0	150,000
To	otal	0	150,000	0	0	0	150,000
	Prior Years Cost	38,738,585	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	38,888,585
•	SR 507 BABCOCK ST FROM		LM BAY RD		Length: 2.490 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: F	PRELIM ENG FOR FUTURE (	CAPACITY			LRTP#: Page 61, Ta	able 17	
ROW	DIH	0	0	400,000	400,000	312,000	1,112,000
ROW	DDR	0	0	7,100,000	11,300,000	8,000,000	26,400,000
To	otal	0	0	7,500,000	11,700,000	8,312,000	27,512,000
	Prior Years Cost	3,451,544	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	30,963,544
-	APOLLO BLVD FROM SARI		BLVD		Length: .865 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work: A	ADD LANES & RECONSTRU	CI			LRTP#: Page 33, M	an 7	
CST	SU	0	55,330	0	0	0	55,330
To	otal	0	55,330	0	0	0	55,330
	Prior Years Cost	28,468,984	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	28,524,314
•	I-95 (SR 9) FROM SR 406 TO				Length: 4.538 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work: A	ADD LANES & REHABILITAT	E PVMNT			LRTP#: Page 11		
Description: Goe	es With Project No. 406869-2 (	PD&E phase)					
CST	ACNP	0	0	211,000	0	0	211,000
То	otal	0	0	211,000	0	0	211,000
	Prior Years Cost	9,339,577	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	9,550,577

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	I-95 FROM 0.5 MILE N OF SI		INE		Length: 8.244 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD LANES & REHABILITAT	E PVMN I			LRTP#: Page 11		
Description: Goe	es With Project No. 406869-2 (	PD&E Phase)			21(11 //11 dg0 11		
CST	ACNP	0	0	569,000	0	0	569,000
To	otal	0	0	569,000	0	0	569,000
	Prior Years Cost	13,844,145	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	14,413,145
	SR 528 FROM E OF SR524(I		F SR 3		Length: 3.719 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUC	СТ			LRTP#: PAGE 11		
Description: Goe	es with Project No. 4074021 (P	D&E Phase) & 4074024	(Design Phase)		LRIF#. FAGE II		
PE	DIH	200,000	0	0	0	0	200,000
PE	DI	5,800,000	0	0	0	0	5,800,000
ENV	DDR	0	1,705,000	0	0	0	1,705,000
To	otal	6,000,000	1,705,000	0	0	0	7,705,000
	Prior Years Cost	2,074,772	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	9,779,772
	SR 528 FROM EAST OF SR		AL INTERCHANGE		Length: 5.091 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUC	<b>31</b>			LRTP#: PAGE 11		
Description: Goe	es with Project No. 4074021 (P	D&E Phase) & 4074023	(Design Phase)				
PE	DIH	200,000	0	0	0	0	200,000
PE	DI	5,400,000	0	0	0	0	5,400,000
ENV	DDR	0	1,400,000	0	0	0	1,400,000
To	otal	5,600,000	1,400,000	0	0	0	7,000,000
	Prior Years Cost	2,074,772	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	9,074,772

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Tota
	I-95 INT @ ST JOHNS HERIT	TAGE PKWY/PALM BA	Y PK WY N OF MICCO RD		Length: 1.117 MI	*SIS*	
ype of work: I	NTERCHANGE (NEW)				LRTP#: Page 12		
escription: Goe	es with Project No. 4269041 (P	D&E Study) / Goes with	Project L-PALMBAY01 and PAL	MBAY02 for Palm Bay L			
ROW	LF	14,838,000	0	0	0	0	14,838,00
PE	S129	375,000	0	0	0	0	375,00
CST	ACNP	34,447,107	0	0	0	0	34,447,10
CST	DDR	0	0	48,083	0	0	48,08
To	otal	49,660,107	0	48,083	0	0	49,708,19
	Prior Years Cost	1,633,235	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	51,341,42
	I-95 INT @ ELLIS RD /ST JO	HNS HERITAGE PKW	<i>(</i>		Length: 1.010 MI	*SIS*	
	NTERCHANGE (NEW)				LRTP#: PAGE 12		
Description: Goe	es with Project Nos. 4269051 (	PD&E phase) and 4269	054 (Ellis Road)				
PE	DIH	7,751	0	0	0	0	7,75
PE	TCSP	115,326	0	0	0	0	115,32
ROW	DDR	3,025,000	3,198,600	2,194,300	1,905,700	0	10,323,60
ENV	DDR	2,275,000	0	0	0	0	2,275,00
ROW	DIH	40,000	40,000	0	0	0	80,00
PE	ACSA	40,000	0	0	0	0	40,00
ENV	DI	1,200,000	0	0	0	0	1,200,00
CST	DS	0	1,554,090	0	0	0	1,554,09
CST	ACNP	0	42,864,114	0	0	50,000	42,914,11
To	otal	6,703,077	47,656,804	2,194,300	1,905,700	50,000	58,509,88
	Prior Years Cost	4,649,811	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	63,159,69
			HN RHODES BLVD TO W OF W	ICKHAM	Length: .044 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
•	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING  Project Nos 426905-2 (		oject); Goes with Project Nos. 426	39051 (PD&F Phase) an	LRTP#: PAGE 12		
•	,	•		,	, ,		
ROW _	SA	614,000	0	0	0	5,000,000	5,614,00
To	otal	614,000	0	0	0	5,000,000	5,614,00
	Prior Years Cost	2,526,156	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	8,140,15

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	I-95 INTERCHANGE AT VIER	RA BLVD			Length: .535 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work: II	NTERCHANGE (NEW)				LRTP#: Page 13		
Description: New	/ I-95 Interchange; Right of Wa	ay needed to construct in	terchange will be donated by the	Viera Company. Goes			
PE	DIH	10,000	0	0	0	0	10,000
PE	DDR	1,800,000	0	0	0	0	1,800,000
ROW	PVT	12,600,000	0	0	0	0	12,600,000
CST	DS	0	7,323,382	0	0	0	7,323,382
То	tal	14,410,000	7,323,382	0	0	0	21,733,382
	Prior Years Cost	1,247,246	Future Years Cost		Total P	Project Cost	22,980,628
	ST JOHNS HERITAGE PKW		LIS RD INTERCHANGE		Length: 2.16 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: N	NEW ROAD CONSTRUCTION				LRTP#: PAGE 12		
CST	LF	0	3,116,011	0	0	0	3,116,011
CST	CIGP	0	3,116,011	0	0	0	3,116,011
То	tal	0	6,232,022	0	0	0	6,232,022
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	Project Cost	6,232,022
	SR 514 (MALABAR RD) FRO	OM BABCOCK ST TO U	S 1		Length: 3.698 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: P	PD&E/EMO STUDY				LRTP#: Page 59, Tabl	e 15	
PE	DS	0	0	500,000	0	0	500,000
PE	DIH	0	0	0	5,000	0	5,000
PE	DDR	0	0	0	3,500,000	0	3,500,000
То	otal	0	0	500,000	3,505,000	0	4,005,000
	Prior Years Cost	1,225,984	Future Years Cost		Total P	Project Cost	5,230,984
	US 1 SR 404/PINEDA CAUS	EWAY TO PARK AVEN	UE		Length: 8.845 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: P	PD&E/EMO STUDY				LRTP#: Page 59		
PD&E	DIH	0	0	0	25,000	0	25,000
PD&E	DDR	0	0	0	1,738,000	0	1,738,000
	otal	0	0	0	1,763,000	0	1,763,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	Project Cost	1,763,000

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 501 FROM MICHIGAN AV	VENUE TO INDUSTRY I	ROAD		Length: 1.123 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	PD&E/EMO STUDY				LRTP#: Page 13		
Description: ST	ATE FUNDED ONLY				ERTI #. 1 ago 10		
PE	DS	0	0	150,000	0	0	150.000
PE	DIH	0	0	0	5,000	0	5,000
PE	DDR	0	0	0	2,398,825	0	2,398,825
Т	otal	0	0	150,000	2,403,825	0	2,553,825
	Prior Years Cost	1,196,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	3,749,825
	SR 500/US 192 AT WICKHAM	M RD			Length: .020 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD TURN LANE(S)				LRTP#: page 76		
PE	SU	187,010	0	0	0	0	187,010
PE	ACSU	304,990	0	0	0	0	304,990
	otal	492,000	0	0	0	0	492,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	492,000
•	SR 500/US 192 AT HOLLYW	OOD BLVD			Length: .002 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD TURN LANE(S)				LRTP#: page 76		
PE	SU	575,000	0	0	0	0	575,000
ROW	DIH	0	0	0	0	50,000	50,000
ROW	DDR	0	0	0	0	4,728,500	4,728,500
Т	otal	575,000	0	0	0	4,778,500	5,353,500
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	5,353,500
		CEPORT CONNECTOR	SIS INTERSECTION IMPROVE	MENTS	Length: .384 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)				LRTP#: PAGE 76		
ROW	ACNP	0	940.000	0	0	0	940,000
CST	ACNP	0	0	0	0	646,287	646,287
	otal	Ō	940,000	0	0	646,287	1,586,287
	Prior Years Cost	410,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	1,996,287

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	SJHP WASHINGTONIA EXTEN		AD TO PINEDA		Length: 5.0 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: C	CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLANNI	ING			LRTP#: Page 13		
PD&E	SA	0	0	0	0	2,010,000	2,010,000
PD&E	LF	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
	otal	0	Ŏ	0	0	3,510,000	3,510,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	3,510,000
	HOLLYWOOD ST WIDENING I		O US 192		Length: 3.111 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: V	VIDEN/RESURFACE EXIST LA	NES			LRTP#: Page 13		
Description: Righ	nt of Way Funded with Transport	ation Regional Incentive	Program (TRIP) Funds w/ 50%	local match.			
ROW	LF	0	0	0	0	3,365,783	3,365,783
ROW	TRIP	0	0	0	0	3,365,783	3,365,783
To	tal	0	0	0	0	6,731,566	6,731,566
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	6,731,566
	BABCOCK ST FROM PALM B		ABAR ROAD		Length: 7.000 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: A	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT				LRTP#: Page 12		
PE	TRIP	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
PE	LF	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
To	tal	0	0	0	0	3,000,000	3,000,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	3,000,000
Proj# 4372101	MALABAR RD FROM PALM B	AY PARKWAY TO MIN	TON RD		Length: 3.970 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: F	PD&E/EMO STUDY				Lead Agency: CITY LRTP#: Page 12	OF PALM BAY	
PD&E	TRIP	0	0	0	0	500,000	500,000
PD&E	LF	0	0	0	0	500,000	500,000
То	tal	0	0	0	0	1,000,000	1,000,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	1,000,000

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Type of Work:	ADD LANES & RECONS	AST WIDENING PD&E STUDY ISTRUCT  Environment phase is required to		,	Length: 9.7 MI Lead Agency: Turn LRTP#: PAGE 11 s and a service plaza.	*SIS* pike Enterprise	
PD&E	PKYI	4,504	0	0	0	0	
							4.504
PD&E	DI	5,300,000	0	0	0	0	4,504 5,300,000
PD&E	DI <b>otal</b>	5,300,000 <b>5,304,504</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	•

# Section B - Maintenance and Safety Projects

Space Coast TPO Transportation Improvement Program - FY 2016 - 2020

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	COCOA OPERATIONS COM	PLEX PHASE 1				*Non-SIS*	
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
To	otal	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	5,000
•	BREVARD TRAFFIC ENGINE	EERING CONTRACTS			Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of work:	FRAFFIC SIGNALS				LRTP#: Page 76		
OPS	DITS	403,643	0	0	0	0	403,643
OPS	DDR	556,942	726,279	740,983	655,491	655,491	3,335,186
To	otal	960,585	726,279	740,983	655,491	655,491	3,738,829
	Prior Years Cost	4,902,376	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	8,641,205
	7 7707 7 0070 0001	.,00=,0.0				.,	0,011,200
	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO				Length: 1.359 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
					Length: 1.359 MI		
Type of Work:	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)	O COREY ROAD	0	0		*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: A	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S) DDR		0 0	0 260.000	Length: 1.359 MI LRTP#: Page 76 0	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)	O COREY ROAD	0 0 0	260,000	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0  130,000	*Non-SIS*	*RSP* 112,000 455,000
ENV ROW	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S) DDR DS	O COREY ROAD	0 0 0 0		Length: 1.359 MI LRTP#: Page 76 0	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345
ENV ROW ROW	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S) DDR DS DIH	O COREY ROAD	0 0 0 0 0	260,000 50,000	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0  130,000  48,345	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345
ENV ROW ROW CST CST	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS	0 COREY ROAD  112,000 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	260,000 50,000 0	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559
ENV ROW ROW CST CST	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS DIH DS DIH	0 COREY ROAD  112,000  0  0  0  0	0 0 0 0 0 <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <i>Future Years Cost</i>	260,000 50,000 0 0	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76 0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,398,313	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0 0	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559 335,409
ENV ROW ROW CST CST To	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS DIH Dtal  Prior Years Cost  SR 500 (US 192) FROM DIKE	0 COREY ROAD  112,000 0 0 0 112,000 778,009	0 0 0 0 0 <b>0</b> Future Years Cost	260,000 50,000 0 0	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76 0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,398,313	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0 0 65,000	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,885,313
ENV ROW ROW CST CST To	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS DIH Dtal  Prior Years Cost  SR 500 (US 192) FROM DIKE	0 COREY ROAD  112,000 0 0 0 112,000 778,009	0 0 0 0 0 <b>0</b> Future Years Cost	260,000 50,000 0 0	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,398,313  Total  Length: 1.997 MI	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0 65,000  Project Cost	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,885,313
ENV ROW ROW CST CST To  Proj# 4169652 Type of Work: I	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS DIH Otal  Prior Years Cost  SR 500 (US 192) FROM DIKE	112,000 0 0 0 0 112,000 778,009	0 0 0 0 0 <b>0</b> Future Years Cost	260,000 50,000 0 0 <b>310,000</b>	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,398,313  Total  Length: 1.997 MI  LRTP#: Page 71	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0 65,000  Project Cost *SIS*	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,885,313 3,663,322
ENV ROW ROW CST CST To  Proj# 4169652 Type of Work: I	SR 514 FROM WEBER RD TO ADD LEFT TURN LANE(S)  DDR DS DIH DS DIH Dtal  Prior Years Cost  SR 500 (US 192) FROM DIKE	0 COREY ROAD  112,000 0 0 0 112,000 778,009	0 0 0 0 0 <b>0</b> Future Years Cost	260,000 50,000 0 0	Length: 1.359 MI  LRTP#: Page 76  0 130,000 48,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,398,313  Total  Length: 1.997 MI	*Non-SIS*  0 65,000 0 0 65,000  Project Cost	*RSP*  112,000 455,000 98,345 1,884,559 335,409 2,885,313

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 500 (US 192) FROM MEA	ADOW LANE TO DAIRY	ROAD		Length: 2.011 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	LIGHTING				LRTP#: Page 71		
Description: Go	oes with Project No. 4169652				## ago / !		
CST	DS	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
	Total	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
	Prior Years Cost	166,550	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	566,550
•	COCOA OPERATIONS COM	IPLEX MAJOR PHASE	I & PHASE II CONSTRUCTION		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
CST	FCO	12,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0	16,000,000
	Total	12,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	Ö	16,000,000
	Prior Years Cost	2,000,000	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	18,000,000
	PAVEMENT MARKINGS/RP	M'S VARIOUS LOCATION	ONS			*Non-SIS*	
Type of work:	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
MNT	D	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
	Total	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
	Prior Years Cost	1,486,765	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	1,886,765
	SRA1A (ATLANTIC AVE) FR	ROM N OF SR500 US 19	2 TO S OF SR 404		Length: 8.487 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work.	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
CST	LF	120,862	0	0	0	0	120,862
CST	SA	249,510	0	0	0	0	249,510
CST	DDR	7,925,332	0	0	0	0	7,925,332
CST	NHRE	3,582,206	0	0	0	0	3,582,206
	Total	11,877,910	0	0	0	0	11,877,910
	Prior Years Cost	839,510	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	12,717,420

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
-	US 1 (SR 5) FROM N OF CID	OCO RD TO N OF GOL	DEN KNIGHTS BLVD		Length: 7.196 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
PE	DIH	4,440	0	0	0	0	4,440
CST	DIH	94,159	0	0	0	0	94,159
CST	NHRE	25,794	0	0	0	0	25,794
To	otal	124,393	0	0	0	0	124,393
	Prior Years Cost	224,637 Future Years Cost Total Project Co		Project Cost	349,030		
	BREVARD COUNTY ITS OPE		•		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work: I	TS COMMUNICATION SYSTE	:M			LRTP#: Page 76		
OPS	SU	225,000	225.000	225.000	225,000	225,000	1,125,000
	otal	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,125,000
	Prior Years Cost	1,065,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	2,190,000
•	DRAINAGE REPAIRS				Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
MNT	D	1.500.000	0	0	0	0	1,500,000
	otal	1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1,500,000
	Prior Years Cost	3,665,280	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	5,165,280
-	SR5 (US1) FROM LAW ST TO	O POST RD			Length: 2.841 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
CST	DS	0	528,200	0	0	0	528,200
CST	DIH	0	398,403	0	0	0	398,403
CST	SA	0	3,989,806	0	0	0	3,989,806
т	otal	0	4,916,409	0	0	0	4,916,409
	Prior Years Cost	408,085	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	5,324,494

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 508 FROM EDDIE ALLE	N RD TO SR 5 (US1)			Length: 1.335 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: F	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	of 8	
Description: MIL	L AND REPAVE EXISTING AS	SPHALT PAVEMENT			Elvii #. 1 ago 10, Dano		
PE	DIH	11,467	0	0	0	0	11,467
CST	DIH	70,661	0	0	0	0	70,661
CST	DDR	186,246	0	0	0	0	186,246
To	otal	268,374	0	0	0	0	268,374
	Prior Years Cost	2,024,828	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	2,293,202
Proj# 4306661	SR 500 (US 192) BABCOCK	ST TO BEGINNING OF	THE MELBOURNE CAUSEWAY		Length: 1.644 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: F	RESURFACING						
			_		LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle		
RRU	DDR	12,000	0	0	0	0	12,000
CST	DS	1,538,115	0	0	0	0	1,538,115
CST	SA	1,672,029	0	0	0	0	1,672,029
CST	DDR	10,270	0	0	0	0	10,270
CST	NHRE	159,943	0	0	0	0	159,943
Тс	otal	3,392,357	0	0	0	0	3,392,357
	Prior Years Cost	313,297	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	3,705,654
•	SR A1A S OF SHERRY LEE	LANE TO NORTH OF S	SUNFLOWER ST		Length: 3.871 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: F	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	et 8	
PE	DS	531,491	0	0	0	0	531,491
CST	DS	2,022,536	0	0	0	0	2,022,536
CST	DIH	42,342	0	0	0	0	42,342
CST	SU	325,565	0	0	0	0	325,565
CST	SA	24,927	0	0	0	0	24,927
	otal	2,946,861	0	0	0	0	2,946,861
	Prior Years Cost	621,799	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	3,568,660

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	SR A1A SB ONE WAY PAIR	TO ATLANTIC AVE			Length: 2.975 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	ot 8	
PE	DS	699.955	0	0	0	0	699,955
CST	DS	1,674,484	0	0	0	0	1,674,484
CST	DIH	149,012	0	0	0	0	149,012
CST	SU	325,565	0	0	0	0	325,565
T	otal	2,849,016	0	0	0	0	2,849,016
	Prior Years Cost	510,873	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	3,359,889
	SR 520 AT RIVEREDGE BLV	'D			Length: .020 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNALS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
CST	DS	10,270	0	0	0	0	10,270
CST	DIH	264,966	0	0	0	0	264,966
CST	DDR	204,487	0	0	0	0	204,487
	otal	479,723	0	0	0	0	479,723
	Prior Years Cost	269,505	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	749,228
	WICKAM RD INTERSECTION TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMEN				Length: .002 MI Lead Agency: CITY O LRTP#: Page 18, Bull		*RSP*
ROW	LF	0	225,000	0	0	0	225,000
ROW	CIGP	0	225,000	0	0	0	225,000
Т	otal	0	450,000	0	0	0	450,000
	Prior Years Cost	70,000	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	520,000
Proj# 4319241	WICKHAM RD EAU GALLIE	INTERSECTION SOUT	H BOUND RIGHT		Length: .055 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
	TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMEN				Lead Agency: CITY O LRTP#: Page 18, Bull		
ROW	LF	0	250,000	0	0	0	250,000
ROW	CIGP	0	250,000	0	0	0	250,000
T	otal	0	500,000	0	0	0	500,000
	Prior Years Cost	82,900	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	582,900

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Space Coast TPO Transportation Improvement Program - FY 2016 - 2020

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	WICKHAM RD EAU GALLIE TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMEN		H BOUND		Length: .107 MI Lead Agency: CITY ( LRTP#: Page 18, Bul		*RSP*
CST	LF	0	150,000	0	0	0	150,000
CST	CIGP	0	150,000	0	0	0	150,000
То	otal	0	300,000	0	0	0	300,000
	Prior Years Cost	82,900	Future Years Cost		Total I	Project Cost	382,900
•	SR 518 WEST OF EAU GALL	IE CANAL TO PINEAP	PLE		Length: 1.700 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
RRU	DS	12,000	0	0	0	0	12,000
CST	DS	1,194,328	0	0	0	0	1,194,328
CST	DIH	63,349	0	0	0	0	63,349
To	otal	1,269,677	0	0	0	0	1,269,677
	Prior Years Cost 541,968		Future Years Cost		Total Project Cost		1,811,645
	SR 46 FROM W OF PALM AV	/E TO SR 5 (US1)			Length: 1.162 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
PE	DIH	22,000	0	0	0	0	22,000
PE	DS	410.000	0	0	0	0	410,000
CST	DS	0	0	174,334	0	0	174,334
CST	DIH	0	0	136.465	0	0	136,465
CST	SA	0	0	1,038,791	0	0	1,038,791
	otal	432,000	0	1,349,590	0	0	1,781,590
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total I	Project Cost	1,781,590
	SR 406 FROM E OF PETTY O	CIRCLE TO WASHINGT	TON AVE (SR5 NORTH)		Length: .864 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	lot 8	
PE	DIH	22,000	0	0	O CRIF#. Fage 10, Bui	0	22,000
PE	DS	315,000	0	0	0	0	315,000
CST	DIH	0	0	131.390	0	0	131,390
CST	SA	0	0	1,168,407	0	0	1,168,407
	otal	337,000	<b>0</b>	1,100,407 <b>1,299,797</b>	0	0	1,636,797
		001,000		1,200,101			1,000,101
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total I	Project Cost	1,636,797

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Proj# 4324121	SR 406 W OF SINGLETON AV	/E TO E OF SINGLET	ON AVE		Length: .216 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE				_		
					LRTP#: Page 76		
CST	DS	354,365	0	0	0	0	354,365
CST	SA	51,826	0	0	0	0	51,826
CST	HSP	397,764	0	0	0	0	397,764
Т	otal	803,955	0	0	0	0	803,955
	Prior Years Cost	521,085	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	1,325,040
	SR 5 (US1) E OF GOLDEN KM	NIGHTS TO W OF SR5	0(OLD CHENEY HWY)		Length: 3.008 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
CST	DS	0	498,133	0	0	0	498,133
CST	DIH	0	400,575	0	0	0	400,575
CST	SA	0	1,105,367	0	0	0	1,105,367
CST	NHRE	0	2,764,893	0	0	0	2,764,893
Te	otal	0	4,768,968	0	0	0	4,768,968
	Prior Years Cost	525,000	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	5,293,968
•	SR 520 FROM TUCKER LANE	TO AURORA ROAD			Length: 3.046 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
Description: Go	es With Project No. 4325921				,		
CST	DS	0	469,816	0	0	0	469,816
CST	DIH	0	392,885	0	0	0	392,885
CST	DDR	0	3,807,026	0	0	0	3,807,026
	otal	0	4,669,727	0	0	0	4,669,727
	Prior Years Cost	601,263	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	5,270,990

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	SR 404 OVER INDIAN RIVER				Length: .432 MI MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITA	ATION			LRTP#: PAGE 18, BUI	LET 8	
Description: REF	PAIR SUBSTRUCTURE						
PE	DIH	2,366	0	0	0	0	2,366
CST	DIH	115,289	0	0	0	0	115,289
CST	BRRP	894,653	0	0	0	0	894,653
To	otal	1,012,308	0	0	0	0	1,012,308
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	1,012,308
	SR 5 (US 1) FROM LAGRANO	GE RD TO NORTH OF	PARKER ST		Length: 1.900 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work: I	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	et 8	
PE	DIH	22,000	0	0	0	0	22,000
PE	DS	280,000	0	0	0	0	280,000
CST	DIH	0	0	220,562	0	0	220,562
CST	SA	0	0	10,790	0	0	10,790
CST	NHRE	0	0	1,943,274	0	0	1,943,274
To	otal	302,000	0	2,174,626	0	0	2,476,626
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	2,476,626
	SR A1A (ASTRONAUT BL VI	O) FROM OVER GEOR	GE KING BLVD TO SR 401		Length: 1.006 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work: I	es With Project No. 4344172				LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	et 8	
Description: Goe							
	•	0	F 00F	0	0	0	
CST	DS	0	5,265	0	0	0	5,265
CST CST	DS DIH	0	190,394	0	0	0	190,394
CST CST CST	DS		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 520 FROM WEST OF BAN	NANA RIVER BRIDGE	TO SR A1A		Length: .583 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
Description: Go	es with Project No. 4344171				ugo 10, _u.	.0.0	
CST	DS	0	776,696	0	0	0	776,696
CST	DIH	0	113,661	0	0	0	113,661
CST	DDR	0	119,890	0	0	0	119,890
T	otal	0	1,010,247	0	0	0	1,010,247
	Prior Years Cost	288,792	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	1,299,039
	WICKHAM ROAD AT STADIL	JM PARKWAY			Length: .020 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNALS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
CST	SA	552,626	0	0	0	0	552,626
	otal	552,626	0	0	0	0	552,626
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	552,626
	SR 46 FROM ROWLAND CT	TO W OF CAMBRIDGE	E DR		Length: .356 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
PE	DIH	1,914	0	0	0	0	1,914
CST	DIH	14,463	0	0	0	0	14,463
CST	DDR	252,033	0	0	0	0	252,033
T	otal	268,410	0	0	0	0	268,410
	Prior Years Cost	220,111	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	488,521
	SR 5 (US 1) FROM N OF OTT	ER CREEK LN TO N C	OF OYSTER PLACE		Length: 4.261 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bul	let 8	
Description: Sou	uthbound Lanes Only						
PE	DIH	107,114	0	0	0	0	107,114
CST	DS	2,619,728	0	0	0	0	2,619,728
CST	DIH	200,162	0	0	0	0	200,162
T-	otal	2,927,004	0	0	0	0	2,927,004
	Prior Years Cost	150,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	3,077,004

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 5 (US 1) FROM N OF BON	NEVENTURE DR TO S	OF PARK AVE		Length: 2.197 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	of R	
CST	DS	0	5.265	0	O CRIF#. Fage 10, Build	0	5,265
CST	DIH	0	198,710	0	0	0	198,710
CST	DDR	0	1,884,418	0	0	0	1,884,418
	Total	0	2,088,393	0	0	0	2,088,393
	Prior Years Cost	282,182	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	2,370,575
	I-95 (SR 9) AT WICKHAM RO	AD, SR 520 & SR 524			Length: 12.838 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	LIGHTING				LRTP#: Page 71		
PE	DIH	10,000	0	0	0	0	10,000
PE	DDR	340,000	0	0	0	0	340,000
PE	DS	194,000	0	0	0	0	194,000
CST	DI	0	1,391,189	0	0	0	1,391,189
CST	DIH	0	108,109	0	0	0	108,109
CST	HSP	0	2,966,989	0	0	0	2,966,989
	<b>Total</b>	544,000	4,466,287	0	0	0	5,010,287
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	5,010,287
	I-95 (SR 9) AT PINEDA CAUS	SEWAY & FISKE BLVD			Length: 8.777 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work:	LIGHTING				LRTP#: Page 71		
CST	DI	2,836,770	0	0	0	0	2,836,770
CST	DS	20,540	0	0	0	0	20,540
CST	DIH	70,293	0	0	0	0	70,293
CST	DDR	127,662	0	0	0	0	127,662
	Total	3,055,265	0	0	0	0	3,055,265
	Prior Years Cost	366,697	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	3,421,962

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Proj# 4350581 Type of Work:	I-95 (SR 9) AT PORT ST.JOH	N, SR 407 & SR 50			Length: 8.351 MI	*SIS*	
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					LRTP#: Page 71		
PE	DIH	0	10,000	0	0	0	10,000
PE	DDR	0	260,000	0	0	0	260,000
CST	ACNP	0	0	3,443,172	0	0	3,443,172
	Total	0	270,000	3,443,172	0	0	3,713,172
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	3,713,172
	SR 404 OVER INDIAN RIVER BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITA		00143		Length: .432 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of work.	BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITA	ATION			LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
CST	DIH	2,054	0	0	0	0	2,054
CST	BRRP	5,462,766	0	0	0	0	5,462,766
7	Total	5,464,820	0	0	0	0	5,464,820
	Prior Years Cost	82,000	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	5,546,820
	SR A1A/ASTRONAUT BLVD	FROM MCKINLEY/HOI	LMAN TO ATLANTIC AVE		Length: .978 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNALS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
PE	DIH	0	5.000	0	0	0	5.000
PE	DDR	0	575,000	0	0	0	575,000
CST	DS	0	0	746,668	0	0	746,668
CST	DIH	0	0	110,225	0	0	110,225
1	Γotal	0	580,000	856,893	0	0	1,436,893
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	1,436,893
	SR A1A/ASTRONAUT BLV D	FR S OF BUCHANAN	AVE TO N OF BUCHANAN	AVE	Length: .038 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of work:	TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	et 8	
PE	DIH	5.000	0	0	0	0	5.000
PE	DDR	175,000	0	0	0	0	175,000
7	Total	180,000	0	0	0	0	180,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	180,000

APPENDIX C | 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	SR A1A/ASTRONAUT BLVD	AT MCKINLEY AVE/H	OLMAN AVE		Length: .038 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					LRTP#: Page 18, Bu	llet 8	
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
PE	DDR	200,000	0	0	0	0	200,000
То	otal	205,000	0	0	0	0	205,000
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	205,000
	SR 5/US 1 FROM SR 508 (NA	SA) TO CHERRY ST			Length: .447 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNALS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bu	llet 8	
PE	DIH	0	5,000	0	0	0	5,000
PE	DDR	0	320,000	0	0	0	320,000
CST	DS	0	0	382.060	0	0	382,060
CST	DIH	0	0	100,556	0	0	100,556
To	otal	0	325,000	482,616	0	0	807,616
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	807,616
•	SR 5/US 1 AT HIBISCUS BAL	LARD AND US 192 IN	TERSECTIONS		Length: 2.800 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE				LRTP#: Page 18, Bu	llet 8	
PE	DIH	2,366	0	0	0	0	2,366
PE	DS	54,016	0	0	0	0	54,016
ROW	DS	0	335,000	230,000	240,000	99,000	904,000
ROW	DIH	0	32,000	32,000	26,000	0	90,000
CST	DS	0	0	0	836,636	0	836,636
CST	DIH	0	0	0	0	112,374	112,374
Т	otal	56,382	367,000	262,000	1,102,636	211,374	1,999,392
	Prior Years Cost	500,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	2,499,392

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 5/US 1 FROM PROSPECT	AVE TO NEW HAVEN	AVE		Length: .038 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
ype of Work: TRAFFIC SIGNALS					LRTP#: Page 18, Bullet 8		
Description: Two	Intersections: US 1 at Prospe	ct and US 1 at New Hav	ren				
PE	DIH	723	0	0	0	0	723
PE	DS	208,171	0	0	0	0	208,171
CST	DS	0	0	479,832	0	0	479,832
CST	DIH	0	0	74,278	0	0	74,278
To	otal	208,894	0	554,110	0	0	763,004
	Prior Years Cost	505,000	Future Years Cost		Total Project Cost		1,268,004
	SR 46 (W MAIN STREET) FRO	OM VOLUSIA CO LINE	TO WEST OF CARPENTER	RD	Length: 5.592 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	pe of Work: RESURFACING				LRTP#: Page 18, Bullet 8		
PE	DIH	22,000	0	0	0	0	22,000
PE	DS	420,000	0	0	0	0	420,000
CST	DIH	0	0	303,966	0	0	303,966
CST	SA	0	0	2,956,197	0	0	2,956,197
Te	otal	442,000	0	3,260,163	0	0	3,702,163
	Prior Years Cost Future Years Cost				Total Project Cost 3,702,16		
	I-95 @ SR/CR 519 RAMPS 06	6/067			Length: .239 MI	*SIS*	
ype of Work: SKID HAZARD OVERLAY					LRTP#: Page 18, Bullet 8		
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
PE	HSP	100,000	0	0	0	0	100,000
CST	HSP	0	0	130,937	0	0	130,937
	otal	105,000	0	130,937	0	0	235,937
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total Project Cost		235,937

361

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 5 (US 1) @ SUNTREE BO	DULEVARD			Length: .001 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of work:	INTERSECTION (MODIF 1)				LRTP#: PAGE 76		
PE	DIH	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
PE	HSP	600,000	0	0	0	0	600,000
CST	HSP	0	0	856,797	0	0	856,797
Т	otal	605,000	0	856,797	0	0	1,461,797
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total Project Cost		1,461,797
	US 192 OVER INDIAN RIVER		4 & 700181		Length: .491 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILIT	ATION			LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	let 8	
CST	DS	10,270	0	0	0	0	10,270
CST	DIH	2,054	0	0	0	0	2,054
CST	BRRP	1,109,372	0	0	0	0	1,109,372
т	otal	1,121,696	0	0	0	0	1,121,696
	Prior Years Cost	33,623	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	1,155,319
•	SR 520 OVER INDIAN RIVER		BRIDGES 700061 & 700137		Length: .862 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILIT	ATION			LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	let 8	
CST	DIH	2,054	0	0	0	0	2,054
CST	BRRP	2,522,608	0	0	0	0	2,522,608
Т	otal	2,524,662	0	0	0	0	2,524,662
	Prior Years Cost	17,000	Future Years Cost		Total F	Project Cost	2,541,662
	SR 5 (US1) FROM BUSTON		ER CREEK LANE		Length: 2.678 MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS				LRTP#: Page 18, Bull	let 8	
CST	DS	1,351,566	0	0	0	0	1,351,566
CST	DIH	153,403	0	0	0	0	153,403
т	otal	1,504,969	0	0	0	0	1,504,969
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total I	Project Cost	1,504,969

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	BREVARD COUNTYWID	DE DECK REHABILITATION A	ND SEALING			*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work.	SKIDOL-KLI AIIVKLIIAD	ILITATION			LRTP#: Page 18	, Bullet 8	
PE	DIH	2,000	0	0	0	0	2,000
PE	DS	10,000	0	0	0	0	10,000
To	otal	12,000	0	0	0	0	12,000
	Prior Years Cost	•	Future Years Cost		7	Total Proiect Cost	12.000

Section D - Complete Streets

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	BREVARD COUNTYWIDE CO		EASIBILITY STUDY RESERVE		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Description: RE	SERVE BOX FOR PROJECTS	IN COMPLETE STREE	TS CONCEPT THAT HAVE NOT	YET BEEN SPECIFICAL	LY IDENTIFIED.		
CST T	SU <b>Total</b>	978,508 <b>978,508</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	978,508 978,508
	Prior Years Cost	374,055	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	1,352,563
	FLORIDA AVENUE FROM KI MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRU		OUTH OF ROSA L JONES		Length: .457 MI Lead Agency: CITY ( LRTP#: page 76	*Non-SIS* OF COCOA	
CST	LF	163,800	0	0	0	0	163,800
CST	SU	918,000	0	0	0	0	918,000
	otal	1,081,800	0	0	0	0	1,081,800
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	1,081,800
	HICKORY STREET FROM NE MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRU		O NASA BLVD		Length: .961 MI Lead Agency: CITY ( LRTP#: page 76	*Non-SIS* OF MELBOURNE	
CST	LF	400,000	0	0	0	0	400,000
CST	SU	2,490,000	0	0	0	0	2,490,000
	otal	2,890,000	0	0	0	0	2,890,000
	Prior Years Cost	905,000	Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	3,795,000
	HOPKINS STREET FROM SF MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRU				Length: 3.131 MI Lead Agency: CITY ( LRTP#: PAGE 76	*Non-SIS* OF TITUSVILLE	*RSP*
PE	SU	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,000,000
CST	LF	0	770,304	0	0	0	770,304
CST	SU	0	5,530,520	0	0	0	5,530,520
CST	SA	0	116,676	0	0	0	116,676
I	otal	1,000,000	6,417,500	0	0	0	7,417,500
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total	Project Cost	7,417,500

365

Section E - Bicycle, Pedestrian and Trail Projects

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	ECF RAIL TRAIL FROM KIN	IGMAN ROAD TO VOL	USIA COUNTY LINE			*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	BIKE PATH/TRAIL				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MA	D 24	
Description: Pro Design Build	oject Development and Environr	mental Phase on FM No.	424040-1		LRIF#. FAGE 53, IVIA	F 24	
PE	DIH	4,590	0	0	0	0	4,590
CST	ACTU	73,268	0	0	0	0	73,268
CST	RED	8,266	0	0	0	0	8,266
CST	TALU	62,316	0	0	0	0	62,316
Т	Total .	148,440	0	0	0	0	148,440
	Prior Years Cost	7,883,490	Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	8,031,930
Proj# 4269044	I-95 (SR 9) S OF ST JOHNS	HERITAGE PKWY TO F	PALM BAY PKWY		Length: 1.117 MI	*SIS*	
•	LANDSCAPING	starahanga Naw			LRTP#: Page 18, Bulle	et 8	
Description: Go	oes with Project No. 4269043 (In	iterchange New)					
CST	DS	0	0	377,650	0	0	377,650
CST	DIH	0	0	37,765	0	0	37,765
Т	Total .	0	0	415,415	0	0	415,415
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total P	roject Cost	415,415
	ZOO TRAIL FROM CELL TO	WER N OF PINEDA TO	TURTLE MOUND RD		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	BIKE PATH/TRAIL				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MA	P 24	
CST	TALT	0	2,224,699	0	0	0	2,224,699
CST	TALU	0	570,213	0	0	0	570,213
CST	SA	0	382,498	0	0	0	382,498
Т	<b>Total</b>	0	3,177,410	0	0	0	302,730
							3,177,410

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	SR 520 FROM TUCKER LA	ANE TO E OF AURORA F	RD		Length: 3.085 MI MI	*Non-SIS*	*RSP*
Type of Work:	SIDEWALK				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MAP	25	
Description: Go	es With Project No. FM 43244	31			ERTF#. FAGE 33, MAF	23	
CST	SA	177,160	0	0	0	0	177,160
CST	HSP	1,735,664	0	0	0	0	1,735,664
Т	otal	1,912,824	0	0	0	0	1,912,824
	Prior Years Cost	305,321	Future Years Cost		Total Pro	ject Cost	2,218,145
•	SHERWOOD ELEMENTAR	Y SIDEWALK FROM WA	RWICK RD TO POST RD		Length: .460 MI MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	SIDEWALK				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MAP	25	
CST	HSP	215,928	0	0	0	0	215,928
	otal	215,928	0	0	0	0	215,928
	Prior Years Cost	27,301	Future Years Cost		Total Pro	ject Cost	243,229
	GARDEN STREET (SR406)	FROM SINGLETON AVE	NUE TO US1 (NORTH BOU	ND LANE)	Length: 1.954 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	BIKE PATH/TRAIL				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MAP	24	
PDE	DDR	0	450,000	0	0	0	450,000
PDE	DIH	0	4,500	0	0	0	4,500
PE	DIH	0	0	0	8,000	0	8,000
PE	DDR	0	0	0	800,000	0	800,000
Т	otal	0	454,500	0	808,000	0	1,262,500
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total Pro	ject Cost	1,262,500
	SPACE COAST TRAIL FRO	M MAX BREWER CAUS	EWAY TO ATLANTIC OCEA	N	Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	BIKE PATH/TRAIL				LRTP#: PAGE 93, MAP	24	
PDE	TALT	430,000	0	0	0	0	430,000
PE	DIH	0	0	8,000	0	0	8,000
PE	DS	0	0	802.660	0	0	802,660
	otal	430,000	0	810,660	0	Ö	1,240,660
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Total Pro	ject Cost	1,240,660

E-42

Bicycle, Pedestrian and Trail Projects

Section F - Transit and Transportation Disadvantaged Projects

ADOPTED JULY 9, 2015 FY 16 - FY 2020, AMENDED 10/8/2015

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	BREVARD-SPACE COAST A TRANSIT SERVICE DEMONS		BUS SERVICE SR 520		Length: .000 MI Lead Agency: Spac LRTP#: PAGE 85, N	*Non-SIS* ce Coast Area Transit MAP 21	
OPS	DPTO	385,500	385,500	397,065	419,257	436,027	2,023,349
T	otal	385,500	385,500	397,065	419,257	436,027	2,023,349
	Prior Years Cost	3,616,556	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	5,639,905
	BREVARD-SPACE COAST A TRANSIT SERVICE DEMONS		A1A BEACH TROLLEY		Length: .000 MI Lead Agency: Spac LRTP#: PAGE 85, N	*Non-SIS* ce Coast Area Transit MAP 21	
OPS	DPTO	385,500	385,500	397,065	419,257	436,027	2,023,349
T	otal	385,500	385,500	397,065	419,257	436,027	2,023,349
	Prior Years Cost	3,616,555	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	5,639,904
•	BREVARD-SCAT / BLOCK O OPERATING FOR FIXED ROL		ER COST FTA SECTION #5307		Length: .000 MI Lead Agency: Spac LRTP#: PAGE 81	*Non-SIS* ce Coast Area Transit	
OPS	DPTO	1,584,308	1,583,581	0	0	0	3,167,889
OPS	LF	3,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	6,000,000
OPS	FTA	330,000	330,000	0	0	0	660,000
T	otal	4,914,308	4,913,581	0	0	0	9,827,889
	Prior Years Cost	14,590,032	Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	24,417,921
Proj# 4314021 Type of Work:	BREVARD-SECTION 5303 S PTO STUDIES	PACE COAST TPO PL	ANNING STUD IES		Length: .000 MI Lead Agency: Spac LRTP#: Page 81	*Non-SIS* ce Coast Area Transit	
PLN	DU	0	138,121	142,264	142,264	146,532	569,181
PLN	DPTO	0	17,265	17,783	17,783	18,495	71,326
PLN	LF	0	17,265	17,783	17,783	18,495	71,326
T	otal	0	172,651	177,830	177,830	183,522	711,833
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tota	l Project Cost	711,833

370

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Proj# 4333031	BREVARD-BLOCK GRANT	OPERATING ASSISTANC	E FOR FIXED ROUTE SEC 5	307	Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work: 0	PPERATING FOR FIXED RO	DUTE			Lead Agency: Spa	ce Coast Area Trans	it
					LRTP#: PAGE 81		
OPS	DPTO	0	0	1,624,519	1,678,151	1,762,058	5,064,728
OPS	LF	0	0	1,624,519	1,678,151	1,762,058	5,064,728
To	tal	0	0	3,249,038	3,356,302	3,524,116	10,129,456
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tot	al Project Cost	10,129,456
Proj# 4351771	BREVARD-SPACE COAST	AREA TRANSIT				*Non-SIS*	
уре of Work: Т	RANSIT SERVICE DEMON	STRATION			Lead Agency: Spa	ce Coast Area Trans	it
•					LRTP#: PAGE 81		
Description: SEF	RVICE DEVELOPMENT PRO	OGRAM / NEW FIXED ROU	TE BETWEEN TITUSVILLE AN	ND ORLANDO			
OPS	DDR	168,114	0	0	0	0	168,114
OPS	LF	168.114	0	0	0	0	168,114
	tal	336,228	0	0	0	0	336,228
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		Tot	al Proiect Cost	336.228

Section H - Seaport, Spaceport, Rail and Freight Projects

ADOPTED JULY 9, 2015 FY 16 - FY 2020, AMENDED 10/8/2015

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	SR 405 SPACEPORT CO	ONNECTOR SIS INTERSECTION	NIMPROVEMENTS		Length: 2.712 MI	*SIS*	
Type of Work.	ADD EEL I TORRE EARLE	<i>-</i> ,			LRTP#: Page 125		
PE	ACNP	0	1,055,000	0	0	0	1,055,000
CST	ACNP	0	0	0	0	2,174,764	2,174,764
Т	otal	0	1,055,000	0	0	2,174,764	3,229,764
	Prior Years Cos	t	Future Years Cost		Tota	al Project Cost	3,229,764

H-47

# Section I - Transportation Planning

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
•	BREVARD URBAN AREA UITRANSPORTATION PLANNIN				Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work.	TRANSFORTATION FLAMMIN						
PLN	PL	644,661	644,661	644,661	644,661	644,661	3,223,305
T-	otal	644,661	644,661	644,661	644,661	644,661	3,223,305
	Prior Years Cost	5,186,389	Future Years Cost		To	tal Project Cost	8,409,694
Proj# 4224381	BREVARD-BREVARD MPO	SECTION 5303 PLANN	ING STUDIES/SUPPORT		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	PTO STUDIES				Lead Agency: Spa LRTP#: Page 81	ace Coast Area Transit	
PLN	DU	138,121	0	0	0	0	138,121
PLN	DPTO	17,265	0	0	0	0	17,265
PLN	LF	17,265	0	0	0	0	17,265
T	otal	172,651	0	0	0	0	172,651
	Prior Years Cost	864,043	Future Years Cost		To	tal Project Cost	1,036,694
	BREVARD COUNTY TRANS BIKE PATH/TRAIL	PORTATION ALTERNA	TES BIKE/PED & TRAIL PR	ROJECTS	Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
Type of Work:	DINE PATH/TRAIL				LRTP#: page 91		
PE	TALU	578.558	8,560	578.747	578,686	578,635	2,323,186
	otal	578,558	8,560	578,747	578,686	578,635	2,323,186
	Prior Years Cost	154,679	Future Years Cost		То	tal Project Cost	2,477,865
•	BREVARD COUNTY TRANS CORRIDOR/SUBAREA PLAN		ATE CAPACITY PROJECTS		Length: .000 MI	*Non-SIS*	
<b>,</b> .			_				
PDE _	SU	0	0	5,585,589	5,584,984	5,584,468	16,755,041
T	otal	0	0	5,585,589	5,584,984	5,584,468	16,755,041
	Prior Years Cost		Future Years Cost		To	tal Project Cost	16,755,041

375

Section J - Federal Lands Highway Program

Phase	Fund Source	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Proj# FW MEIS Type of Work: I		ISLAND NATIONAL WILDLIFE	REFUGE		Lead Agency: U	*Non-SIS* IS Fish & Wildlife	
Description: Reh	nabilitate Shiloh Marsh Ro	oad (Route 133)					
CST To	FLTP otal	250,000 <b>250,000</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	250,000 250,000
	Prior Years Co	st	Future Years Cost		7	Total Project Cost	250,000
Proj# SER PMS Type of Work: I	FY16(1) Canaveral Na RESURFACING	ntional Seashore			Lead Agency: F	*Non-SIS* EDERAL LANDS OFFICE	<u> </u>
CST To	FLTP otal	5,000,000 <b>5,000,000</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5,000,000 5,000,000
	Prior Years Co.	st	Future Years Cost		7	Total Project Cost	5,000,000